Overview

- 1) Simulating thin films
- 2) Electrical characterization of OPVs
- **3) Diffusion limited recombination in OPVs**
- 4) The open circuit voltage
- 5) Conclusions

Diffusion limited recombination

- •Imagine you have a hole in the middle of a sea of electrons.
- •Recombination will depend upon the probability of an electron meeting a hole.
- •This probability will be proportional to the rate at which the electrons move around.
- •And the density of electrons.
- •A more formal expression for the rate at which carriers recombine is given by Langevin recombination.

-

Langevin Recombination

•Recombination happens when an electron and hole get closer than the recombination radius r.

•This radius is defined as when the electrical potential and the thermal energy are equal. **+**

$$
E_{\textit{Coulomb}}\!=\!E_{\textit{thermal}}
$$

$$
\frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon r_c} = k_B T \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad r_c = \frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon k_B T}
$$

L

Langevin recombination

The rate at which carriers drift across the boundary is given by.

j electron=*qn*μ *E*

The electric field is the first derivative of the potential.

$$
j_{electron} = qn\mu \frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon r^2}
$$

Multiply over the area of a sphere

$$
I_{\text{electron flux}} = j_{\text{electron}} 4 \pi r^2 = \frac{q^2 \mu n}{\epsilon}
$$

$$
I_{\text{electron flux}} = \frac{q^2 \mu n}{\epsilon}
$$

Roderick MacKenzie 11th August 2011, Jyväskylä Summer School Finland

-

Imperial College London Langevin recombination for electrons and holes

How good is Langevin recombination?

Langevin's theory predicts that the recombination prefactor is a constant:

$$
k = \frac{q}{\epsilon} (\mu_n + \mu_p) \qquad R = k n p
$$

However we observe a carrier density dependence of k.

Our simple picture of recombination is too simple. When we try to model devices using pure Langevin theory we **can't reproduce the JV curves, charge extraction curves or TPV data** – can we improve the situation........

Imperial College London What's going wrong with Langevin recombination?

Well we assumed that all the carriers are free and thus trapping is neglected. However, we know from our molecular level simulations that there are a lot of traps.

How will this affect the mobility?

How will this affect the mobility?

Comparison to experiment

⋝ ത $\bm{\mathsf{\cup}}$ $\underline{\mathsf{e}}$ $\mathsf{\Xi}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\vec{\sigma}$ al. J. P $\bf \Sigma$ s. C $\overline{}$ em. C, 1 0.1 $\mathbf \circ$ $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ 1/jp $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ $\mathbf \circ$ $\mathbf \circ$ $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ ო 4

 $\mathsf E$

How realistic is this model?

It works very well for steady state simulation.

We assumed that all carriers have the same Fermi-level.

This means that all trapped and free electrons can interact instantaneously.

But should trapped carriers be able to interact with each other?

Would it not be more realistic to have only the free carriers interacting with the trapped carriers?

Shockley-Read-Hall recombination

 E_{v} - HOMO

$$
e_n = v_{th} \sigma_n N_c \exp\left(\frac{E_t - E_c}{kT}\right)
$$

 V_{th} = thermal velocity of carriers σ = capture radious $N_t = \text{trap}$ density f =Fermi-Dirac function describing occupation of the trap.

Shockley-Read-Hall recombination

Shockley-Read-Hall recombination

Electron density the trap:

$$
\frac{\partial n_t}{\partial t} = r_1 - r_2 + r_3 - r_4
$$

Loss of free electrons

$$
R_n = r_1^e - r_2^e
$$

Loss of free holes

$$
R_n = r_4^e - r_3^e
$$

Detailed balance is maintained. i.e. at equlibrium $r_1 = r_2$ and $r_3 = r_4$ Recombination is thus implicitly described.